IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 15986 of 2004

Between:

A. Jayaram, S/o. Late Subbarayudu, aged about 43 years,
Assistant Executive Officer, O/o. Executive Director,
SC Corporation, Ongole, Prakasam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1.Vice-Chairman & Managing Director, Scheduled Caste,
Cooperative Finance Corporation Limited, Hyderabad.
2.The Executive Director, District S.C, SC's Ltd., Kadapa.
3.District Collector/Chairman, Dist. S.C, SC's Ltd. Kadapa.
4.Executive Director, PDSCCS Ltd., Ongole.
5.District Collector/Chairman, PDSCCS Ltd., Ongole.
6.G. Gurunadhudu, S/o. & age not known to the petitioner,
Executive Director, District SCCs Ltd., Kadapa.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the respondent No. 1 to produce the relative records in connection with the proceedings Rc.No. A4/3132/APSC/04 dated 28-8-04 and set aside the same as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to law, violative of principles of natural justice, malafide, discriminative in nature and consequently declaring the action of the 6th respondent as malafide and thereby direct the respondents to give all consequential service and monetary benefits in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.M.V.RAJA RAM

Counsel for the Respondents: Standing Counsel for S.C. and S.T.

Financial Corporation.

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION No.15986 OF 2004

ORDER:

The grievance of the petitioner is that though he is not responsible for the allegation of misappropriation of the amount, he was kept under suspension through the impugned Order dated 28.08.2004. He contends that prior to the date of suspension, he submitted a representation to the first respondent pleading his ignorance. But, that representation was not disposed of before passing the impugned order.

2. Since the allegation against the petitioner is grave in nature, this Court is not inclined to suspend the operation of the impugned order dated 28.08.2004. The petitioner is at liberty to make a representation to the first respondent and the first respondent after receipt of the representation, pass appropriate orders at an early date, regarding his request for reviewing the order of suspension.

3. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

Dr.G.YETHIRAJULU, J

Date:24th September, 2004.
Cs

NOTE:
Issue C.C. in three days.
B/O
Cs.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To
1.Vice-Chairman & Managing Director, Scheduled Caste,
Cooperative Finance Corporation Limited, Hyderabad.
2.The Executive Director, District S.C, SC's Ltd., Kadapa.
3.District Collector/Chairman, Dist. S.C, SC's Ltd. Kadapa.
4.Executive Director, PDSCCS Ltd., Ongole.
5.District Collector/Chairman, PDSCCS Ltd., Ongole.
6. Two C.D. copies.